## Case 1:12-cv-08016-SAS Document 45 Filed 02/19/14 Page 1 of 10 1

	E1hzmorc	Conference								
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK									
2										
3	MORGAN STANLEY,									
4	Plaintiff	,								
5	V.	12 CV 8016 (SAS)								
6	JOSEPH SKOWRON, III,									
7	Defendant.									
8		x								
9		January 17, 2014 4:45 p.m.								
10	Before:	1.15 p.m.								
11										
12	non	SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN,								
13		District Judge								
14		APPEARANCES								
15	MARINO, TORTORELLA & BOYN Attorneys for Plain BY: KEVIN H. MARINO									
16	JOHN A. BOYLE									
17	SORINRAND LLP									
18	Attorneys for Defend BY: JOSHUA H. EPSTEIN	dant								
19	Bi. Coomen ii. Bi bi bii.									
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Epstein? Mr. Marino?

MR. MARINO: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Boyle.

MR. BOYLE: Yes.

THE COURT: Sorry for the long wait. We are completing a non-jury trial that went all week from Monday morning through Friday night and was very complicated.

MR. EPSTEIN: Your Honor, is it okay if I stand over here?

THE COURT: I know which one is which. It doesn't matter.

Okay. So anyway, please be seated. I just apologize for the long wait.

What you're here to talk about is whether there should be a 404(b) certification on the faithless-servant decision that the Court issued, and turn it into a final judgment so it could be ripe for appeal. And both sides have written letters. The plaintiff wrote a letter December 23rd, 2013. And the defendant wrote a letter January 3rd, 2014 in response. plaintiff, of course, says it should be certified. It can be separated from all remaining claims because the faithless-servant claim's essentially a contract claim, but the fraud claim or the breach of fiduciary duty claims are based on But there's even an express breach of contract claim Regarding the breach of undertaking to repay legal remaining.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fees, I'll put that aside.

So Mr. Skowron says, well, it would be duplicative because the claims are intertwined and the standard for breach of fiduciary duty is misconduct that rises to the level of breach of duty of loyalty or good faith -- at least that's the so-called lower standard. There are kind of two standards in New York, and I didn't have to decide which was which in my earlier analysis because it didn't matter which I found -- they were pretty well -- either way, it was faithless under either doctrine, so I didn't make a decision on that.

What troubles me in looking at all this is a couple of I don't understand really why the plaintiff doesn't just dismiss all the remaining claims. I mean, how much more money do you want anyhow? You got it all on the faithless-servant, we'd be done with this.

Secondly, you don't want to do that, I don't understand why you wouldn't move for summary judgment on the breach of fiduciary duty, because defendant almost concedes under at least the lower standard misconduct arises to the level of breach of a duty of loyalty or good faith. So I'm not sure why we're dealing with 54(b) instead of wrapping up the case, tying it in a bow and sending it to the Circuit so that you can get your judgment if it's all affirmed. I don't really understand your strategy. This seems to be almost an easy This guy's been convicted. This guy's been sentenced. case.

I mean, how is he going to get around being faithless-servant? He's not. So what are we doing, holding up three more claims, worrying about a jury trial? It's time to stop that waste of time and get going with a judgment it's not search 54(b), as closing us out in the district court.

MR. MARINO: I appreciate your Honor's questions about the strategy, and maybe I can explain it this way. There isn't any doubt that the cleanest claim, the one that certainly would not involve, and as your Honor determined, did not involve any fact issues whatsoever, no need for discovery was the faithless-servant.

THE COURT: So why do we need more claims and more judgments and a jury and another year or two of this? What do you need?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MARINO: So the reason I want to have your Honor certify the judgment as --

THE COURT: Well, I know what you want. What about what I want? Why don't you figure out how to close the case in the district court so I don't have to go into an analysis of whether they're duplicative and intertwined. It's just getting repetitive judgments. And good luck collecting. I mean, this guy's sitting there in jail anyway. I don't know what more there is to collect, but maybe there is. But get a judgment and go after it. How much has he already had to forfeit on the criminal side, if it's called that, or restitution or

1	forfeiture or both?						
2	MR. MARINO: He's had to forfeit \$10.2 million.						
3	THE COURT: And that's forfeited. How about						
4	restitution?						
5	MR. MARINO: That's all told, it's 10.2 in						
6	restitution.						
7	MR. EPSTEIN: That was to Morgan Stanley, your Honor.						
8	There was of course money forfeited to the government and the						
9	SEC.						
10	THE COURT: Right, so						
11	MR. EPSTEIN: There was more than that, actually.						
12	THE COURT: Yes, so it's 10.2 million to Morgan						
13	Stanley.						
14	MR. EPSTEIN: Already. They've gotten that money.						
15	THE COURT: And?						
16	MR. EPSTEIN: And						
17	THE COURT: Restitution order						
18	MR. EPSTEIN: There was five million to DOJ, I believe						
19	seven million to the SEC and some other odds and ends.						
20	THE COURT: Yes, so there is another 12 million that						
21	he's already ordered to pay. So we're up to 22 million.						
22	MR. MARINO: Right.						
23	THE COURT: How many millions are around do you think?						
24	MR. MARINO: I think he has more money.						

THE COURT: More than 22 million?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	MR.	MARINO:	Ι	think	he	has	in	that	neighborhood.	Ι
think he	i	on.								

THE COURT: If that's all he's got, it's spent on the forfeiture.

MR. MARINO: Right. Let me answer your Honor's question. The reason I've done it this way is in the event -unlikely, I will grant you -- that he were to prevail in the Second Circuit on appeal, I would still have these claims alive. That's the long and the short of it.

THE COURT: For that we should spend another year or two here?

MR. MARINO: No, we don't have to spend any time here. Here's what I propose doing.

THE COURT: I know what you propose. You propose a 54(b).

MR. MARINO: Yes.

THE COURT: Too much trouble.

MR. MARINO: Dismissal as to plaintiffs. They're dismissed. They're all out. Now we got to find them. Wasn't that easy, your Honor?

THE COURT: It was. It was the right thing to do. This is your best claim, cleanest claim, get your judgment and go away.

MR. MARINO: Your Honor, I'm 100 percent with you.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry you had to wait a whole hour

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to tell me. That's the best news I heard all day.

MR. MARINO: It was scintillating.

THE COURT: It was. In any event, that's what we'll There's nothing left for you to object to on that, Mr -do.

MR. EPSTEIN: I'm racking my brain, your Honor, but you appear to be correct.

MR. MARINO: So, your Honor will enter a final judgment --

THE COURT: No. You will write some order or letter of dismissal of the remainder, then I'll enter a final judgment.

MR. MARINO: All right.

THE COURT: I can close the remaining claims, then in one order say following claims are dismissed and the final judgment is entered on the one claim for X dollars. In fact, why don't you submit a proposed order.

MR. MARINO: I'll do it.

THE COURT: Two different documents; one voluntarily dismissing the remaining claims; and, two, proposed order of final judgment. Send it to your adversary. Once we get the language hammered out, it's done.

MR. MARINO: I don't want any slips between lips and There is not going to be any language to hammer out. It's going to be as straight forward as it possibly could be.

THE COURT: Just send it to your adversary.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARINO: We'll do it.

THE COURT: Then come to me and I'll sign fast, and off you go.

MR. MARINO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you're really indeed -- they may just take the judgment and say stand in line.

MR. MARINO: Thanks. I just have one issue, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARINO: I don't want there to be -- I'm trying to think along with your Honor and not having --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MARINO: -- unnecessary proceedings.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MARINO: I want there -- I want it to be clear that there is not going to be a stay pending appeal without a bond.

THE COURT: Oh, no. There is no room for a stay here pending appeal.

MR. MARINO: So as long as we know that, we'll get the order and we'll get the letter withdrawing --

THE COURT: No. In the meantime anyway, you just don't know about this guy and his assets and hunt them down and everything, that's not my business.

MR. MARINO: My guess is that because your Honor is

MR. MARINO: No, we gave him \$30 million.

THE COURT: You said two different things. You said we have 6-million, now you say 10 million?

MR. MARINO: Yes, \$6 million was compensation, 4 million was legal fees.

THE COURT: So you got ten --

MR. MARINO: We got ten.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: DOJ got five.

## Case 1:12-cv-08016-SAS Document 45 Filed 02/19/14 Page 10 of 10 Elhzmorc Conference

MR. MARINO: And everybody else --MR. EPSTEIN: MCC got several --THE COURT: Nobody's ahead of you. MR. MARINO: Nobody's ahead. We're next in line. And since he still has a \$7 million home in Greenwich and some exotic automobiles and lots of other stuff that he paid --bought with his money, I think we're probably going to work it out. THE COURT: We'll see. MR. MARINO: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (Adjourned)